

## 4. REPORT

### Background

A premises licence was originally granted to David Adewale for Imperium Wine Bar on 18<sup>th</sup> February 2008.

#### Licensable activities authorised by the Licence:

#### The times the Licence authorises the carrying out of licensable activities:

##### Regulated entertainment:

Sunday to Thursday	1200 to 0100
Friday and Saturday	1200 to 0200

##### Late Night Refreshment:

Sunday to Thursday	2300 to 0100
Friday and Saturday	2300 to 0200

##### Supply of alcohol

Sunday to Thursday	1200 to 0030
Friday and Saturday	1200 to 0130

##### Non Standard Timings

Christmas Eve and New Years Eve	1200 to 0230
---------------------------------	--------------

#### The opening hours of the premises:

Sunday to Thursday	1200 to 0100
Friday and Saturday	1200 to 0200

##### Non Standard Timings

Christmas Eve and New Years Eve	1200 to 0230
---------------------------------	--------------

The designated premises supervisor is: David Adewale

#### 4.1 Details of the Application for Review and Supporting Documentation.

##### Appendix 1

##### Appendix 1 A- Copy of premises Licence

The application for the review has been made on the following grounds:

The operation of the premises has failed to uphold the Licensing Objectives of The Prevention of Crime and Disorder.

## **5.0 RELEVANT REPRESENTATIONS (CONSULTATION)**

### **Responsible authorities:**

#### **5.1 Comments of Enforcement Services:**

**No comments made.**

#### **5.2 Comments from Licensing Officer.**

Mr Adewale has rented the premises and the license out to other parties. We are not convinced that Mr Adewale had or has day to day control of the premises and the activity there in, although his name remains on the license as both the PLH and the DPS.

#### **5.3 Comments of The London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority**

**No comments made.**

#### **6.0 Comments of Interested Parties**

**No comments made.**

#### **7.0 Comments from Designated Premises Supervisor**

**No comments made.**

## **8.0 POLICY CONSIDERATIONS**

#### **8.1 The following provisions of the Licensing Act 2003 apply to this application: Section 51-53 (review of premises licenses)**

In determining the application the Licensing Sub Committee can take such steps as it considers necessary for the promotion of the licensing objectives, which are:

1. Take no further action
2. modify the conditions of the license
3. exclude a licensable activity from the scope of the license
4. remove the designated premises supervisor
5. suspend the license for a period not exceeding three months
6. revoke the license.

Where the Licensing Sub Committee takes steps mentioned in 3 or 4 it may provide that the modification or exclusion is to have effect for one such period (not exceeding three months) as it may specify. The Licensing Sub Committee is asked to give full reasons for its decision.

The Licensing Sub Committee must make its decision within 5 working days of the end of the hearing. Any decision of the Licensing Sub Committee is stayed from coming into effect for 21 days from the date of the decision, pending any appeal that might be made and the determination of that appeal. Any party to the proceedings may appeal against the decision of the Licensing Sub Committee.

- 9.0** The following provisions of the Secretary of State's guidance apply to this application: Paras 2.1-2.18 Crime and Disorder, 2.19-2.31 Public Safety, 2.32-2.40 Public Nuisance, Reviews arising in connection with crime 11.23-11.28, These provisions are attached at **Appendix 2**.
- 9.1** The following paragraphs of the licensing authority's Statement of Licensing Policy apply to this application; page 46 and 47 Crime and Disorder, these provisions are attached at **Appendix 3**.
- 9.2** The Licensing Sub Committee is reminded that the Human Rights Act 1998 guarantees the right to a fair hearing for all parties in the determination of their civil rights. The Act also provides for the protection of property which may include licences in existence, and the protection of private and family life.

**APPENDIX 1 –APPLICATION FOR REVIEW SUBMITTED BY THE MET POLICE**



**Application for the Review of a Premises Licence or Club Premises Certificate under the Licensing Act 2003**

**PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS FIRST**

- Before completing this form please read the guidance notes at the end of the form.
- If you are completing this form by hand please write legibly in block capitals. In all cases ensure that your answers are inside the boxes and written in black ink. Use additional sheets if necessary.
- You may wish to keep a copy of the completed form for your records.

**I Mark Greaves**

**apply for the review of a premises licence under Section 51 of the Licensing Act 2003 for the premises described in Part 1 below**

**Part 1 – Premises or club premises details**

**Postal address of premises or club premises, or if none, ordnance survey map reference or description:**

IMPERIUM WINE BAR, 77 West Green Road

**Post town:**

London

**Post code:  
(if known)**

N15 5DA

**Name of premises licence holder or club holding club premises certificate (if known):**

David Adewale

**Number of premises licence or club premises certificate (if known):**

LN/00006188

**Part 2 – Applicant details**

**I am:**

Please tick Yes

<b>1</b>	<b>an individual, body or business which is not a responsible authority</b> (please read guidance note 1 and complete (A) or (B) below)	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a) a person living in the vicinity of the premises	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b) a body representing persons living in the vicinity of the premises	<input type="checkbox"/>
	c) a person involved in business in the vicinity of the premises	<input type="checkbox"/>
	d) a body representing persons involved in business in the vicinity of the premises	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>2</b>	<b>a responsible authority (please complete (C) below)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>3</b>	<b>a member of the club to which this application relates (please complete section (A) below)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**PROTECTIVE MARKING**

**(A) DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL APPLICANT** (fill in as applicable)

Mr	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mrs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Miss	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ms	<input type="checkbox"/>	Any other title (e.g. Rev.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Surname:						First Names:			
I am 18 years old or over				<input type="checkbox"/>					
Current postal address if different from premises address:									
Post town:					Post code:				
Daytime Tel. No.:					Email: (optional)				

**(B) DETAILS OF OTHER APPLICANT** (fill in as applicable)

Name and Address:	
Telephone Number (if any):	
Email address: (optional)	

**(C) DETAILS OF RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITY APPLICANT** (fill in as applicable)

Name and Address:	
Metropolitan Police, Unit 1 Quicksilver Place, Western Road, London N22 6UH	
Telephone Number (if any):	020 3276 0150
Email address: (optional)	mark.greaves@met.police.uk

**This application to review relates to the following licensing objective(s)**

		Please tick one or more boxes
1	The prevention of crime and disorder	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2	Public safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	The prevention of public nuisance	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	The protection of children from harm	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please state the ground(s) for review: (please read guidance note 2)		

## PROTECTIVE MARKING

I bring this review due to the two serious violent crimes that have taken place since Mr Nzuki had purchased the venue in February. I consider his management of venue does not promote the licensing objective for the prevention of crime and disorder. The Council has not been informed of the change of ownership and the DPS appears to be in name only and Mr Nzuki did not have a contact number for him. Mr Nzuki appears to have little knowledge of Licencing Regulations. He has failed to inform Police of both violent incidents and cleaned crime scenes. He has breached a Licence Condition by not having an SIA Door Supervisor. I do not consider he has been open and honest in his dealings with Police relating to the offences.

Cris 2810358/13 refers to a GBH at the venue on Saturday 1st June 2013 at 0100 hours. Victim stated he had been at the venue for about four hours when a fight broke out involving four males fighting one male. Victim tried to break up the fight and was hit over the head with a bottle by suspect who then fled the venue. Victim believed staff had called an ambulance but the venue quickly closed and he had to leave. Victim went to the North Middlesex Hospital where a 1" gash to his head was glued and an appointment made for further examination of injury to his jaw. At 2100 hours that day victim returned to venue believing Police had been informed of the incident and wanted a crime number but on finding out staff had not informed Police he called them.

Police attended and spoke with the manager Mr Kanyenesha Nzuki. He said that he was serving drinks and noticed victim and suspect were arguing, he turned away to serve a customer and on turning back saw suspect running from venue and victim with head bleeding. He stated he believed a patron had phoned Police so he didn't and victim left so Mr Nzuki carried on serving drinks. Mr Nzuki could not describe suspect and the bottle had been thrown away and could not be retrieved. Mr Nzuki denied 4 males were fighting stating it was only suspect and victim. Victim spoken to on 3rd June and reiterated there had been 4 males threatening another male with a bottle and one had assaulted him. There is no CCTV at venue.

On Monday 3rd June 2013 I phoned Mr Nzuki to make an appointment to meet him and the Licencee / DPS, Mr David Adewale, to discuss the incident on Saturday. I asked for Mr Adewales contact number as the one Haringey Council had no longer worked. He told me he did not have Mr Adewales phone number and that he would see me. I told him that as Mr Adewale was the Licensee and DPS I needed to see both of them so could he let him know we had agreed a meeting for 1400 on Tuesday 4th June at venue and Mr Nzuki said he would. I originally requested the meeting for 12.30 but Mr Nzuki wanted 1400.

On Tuesday 4th June at 1400 I attended venue and met Mr Nzuki and Mr Abrumo who told me he was an associate of Mr Nzuki. Mr Nzuki asked me to speak slowly as English was not his first language and that Mr Abrumo would assist him. I asked Mr Nzuki if Mr Adewale was attending and he told me Mr Adewale had sold him the premises in February and had nothing more to do with the venue. I showed him my copy of the Premises License showing Mr Adewale as Licencee and DPS and he told me that he had attended the Council offices in Ashley Road in February or March and changed the Licence into his name. I called Daliah Barrett at Haringey Council Licensing and was assured her department had no knowledge of any changes to the Licence and my copy was the same as theirs. I asked for Mr Nzuki's copy of the License and that was the same as mine. I advised him to attend the Council office and inform them of changes to the License and he said he would go that day.

I asked him who the new DPS was and he said it was going to be him. I asked if he had a Personal License and he said he had not but would apply to the Council for one that day. I explained the meaning of DPS and requirements and on Mr Nzuki's request Mr Abrumo wrote everything down that I said and I went through the Licence with them both. I asked Mr Abrumo if he had a Personal Licence and he said he did not. Mr Nzuki told me some of the local Congolese community did not approve of him taking over the venue and that the incidents of violence had been part of other violent incidents and damage to his premises to force him out of business. I explained about CCTV filming people entering would assist in deterring suspects entering and identifying them. He showed me a box of CCTV that he was going to install. I mentioned the fact the crime scene had been cleaned up before Police informed and explained about scene preservation. Mr Nzuki assured me he would do as advised in future but in the recent case the victim had insisted no Police were called and had cleaned up his own blood and told him to throw the bottle away so he did not call Police and disposed of the bottle. I pointed out that he was responsible for the venue not the customers and he should not clean up crime scenes and should call Police regardless of victims wishes for such a serious incident.

I asked for Mr Adewales phone number so I could explain to him that he needed to inform the Council he had sold the venue and resign as DPS so Mr Nzuki could delegate someone with a Personal License as DPS but Mr Nzuki did not have a contact number. He phoned a few people and eventually contacted Mr Adewales son and asked him to get his father to call back but son could not contact his father and gave me his fathers number but no reply when I called. I asked Mr Adewales son if his father had informed the Council he had sold the premises and if he was still DPS but he was unsure. I gave him my contact number and asked him to get his father to call me. I asked if he was aware of any recent contact with the venue his father had had and he told me his father had been at venue at 1230 that day. Mr Nzuki confirmed this but could not explain why Mr Adewale had not waited for me or why my original request for a 12.30 meeting had not been convenient.



## PROTECTIVE MARKING

**Please provide as much information as possible to support the application: (please read guidance note 3)**

I pointed out a Condition on the Premises Licence for an S.I.A registered Security Officer to be present from 2300 hours when the venue was going to open after midnight and asked who had been the S.I.A Security Officer on the night in question as the venue was open after 0100 hours when incident took place. Mr Nzuki told me there was no security guard on the night in question as this was a new business and money was short so he could only afford security on Saturday nights as other nights were not busy enough to warrant the cost. I pointed out the Licence required an S.I.A security officer every night the venue opened after 2300 hours and he said he would employ one in future. I asked to see the register where S.I.A staff booked on duty and was told he did not have one.

I advised them both that alcohol must not be sold until they had ascertained that there was a DPS and should not be on display. I told them I was going to review the venues Licence due to the serious acts of violence that had occurred in the 3 months that Mr Nzuki had taken over the venue and the way he had handled the incidents and that he had breached Licensing Conditions by not having a S.I.A security guard on night of assault and did not appear aware of Licensing Regulations.

On Wednesday 5th June at 1100 I phoned Mr Adewale, we had been missing each others calls, and he informed me he was still DPS and knew about the offences at the venue. I explained he needed to spend time at the venue to supervise staff / clients conduct late evenings and he said he would. I told him I would review the venues Licence and explained why. I pointed out the requirement regarding SIA Door Supervisor on his Licence and the need for CCTV.

CAD 7504 refers to an emergency call from Mr Nzuki on 3rd June 2013 at 18.27, four hours after I had made an appointment to see him on 4th June to discuss violent incidents at his venue. He told Police 5 males who had entered his venue on Friday and tried to fight him were opposite his venue drinking. He said they had been to his home address asking for money. Police attended at 2004 and males had gone. Mr Nzuki said the males wanted to cause trouble at his venue to get the Police to close him down.

CAD 9403 refers to an emergency call from Mr Nzuki on 3rd June at 2131 stating suspects back at location wanting to fight him.

CAD 9441 refers to an emergency call from a male at venue on 3rd June at 2134 saying Police were needed at venue but phone taken by other male who said Police not needed and line cleared.

CAD 9434 refers to an emergency call from Mr Nzuki on 3rd June at 2136 saying he was being attacked. Disturbance heard in background. On Police arrival no offences alleged, male left of own accord and warned he faced arrest if he came back.

To explain CADs above I checked CADs on Monday morning, 3rd June, for venue and they obviously were not there and I did not check again until after our meeting when Mr Nzuki told me he had informed Police of problems with men trying to damage his business.

At 1350 on 5th June I phoned Mr Adewale and asked him about the incidents at the venue Monday night. He started telling me about the incident on Saturday morning so I interrupted and told him I wanted to know about the incidents on Monday night. He told me he was not at the venue Monday night and asked me what incidents I was referring to. I told him about Mr Nzuki's calls to Police listed above and he was not aware of them. I re-iterated he was required at venue to supervise and needed security on the door as per his License as there was not one there on Friday night and there had been further threats and he assured me security would be in place as per Licence. I advised him CCTV should be fitted as a priority and he said it would be.

Cris 2803485/13 refers to an allegation of Attempted GBH at the venue on Tuesday 19th February 2013 at 2300. Victim attend venue and whilst sat at a table drinking was approached by suspect. He had been at the venue the previous Saturday with a friend and suspect had been abusive to his friend but he did not know why. It appears recognised victim from previous encounter and approached him. Victim told suspect to leave him alone but suspect started shouting at him and picked up a glass which he smashed on the table. Victim stood up and suspect has grabbed him by the jumper and stabbed him in the chest with the broken glass. The jumper appears to have reduced the impact as victim only received 2 small cuts to the chest. At this point the manager Mr Kanyenasha Nzuki intervened and ejected suspect from venue. Victim told Mr Nzuki he was going to report the incident to the Police but Mr Nzuki did not want him to do so. Victim left the venue and reported the matter at Edmonton Police Station. Mr Nzuki was called to the Police Station and informed Police he did not see the assault only became involved at the end of the fight when the parties were being separated and were surrounded by broken glass. Mr Nzuki told Police there was no CCTV at venue as it was a new business and had not yet been installed.



**PROTECTIVE MARKING**

**Have you made an application for review relating to this premises before?**

(Please tick yes)

Day	Month	Year
-----	-------	------

**If yes, please state the date of that application:**

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**If you have made representations before relating to this premises please state what they were and when you made them:**

**PROTECTIVE MARKING**

[Large empty rectangular area for protective marking]

Please tick Yes

**I have sent copies of this form and enclosures to the responsible authorities and the premises licence holder or club holding the club premises certificate, as appropriate.**

**I understand that if I do not comply with the above requirements my application will be rejected.**

## PROTECTIVE MARKING

IT IS AN OFFENCE, LIABLE ON CONVICTION TO A FINE UP TO LEVEL 5 ON THE STANDARD SCALE, UNDER SECTION 158 OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003 TO MAKE A FALSE STATEMENT IN OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS APPLICATION.

### Part 3 – Signatures (please read guidance note 4)

**Signature of applicant or applicant's solicitor or other duly authorised agent (see guidance note 5). If signing on behalf of the applicant please state in what capacity.**

Signature:



Date:

6th June 2013

Capacity:

**Contact name (where not previously given) and postal address for correspondence associated with this application:** (please read guidance note 6)

Mark Greaves PC 164YR

Post town: London

Post code: N22 6UH

Telephone Number (if any):

020 3276 0150

**If you would prefer us to correspond with you using an e-mail address, your e-mail address (optional):**

mark.greaves@met.police.uk

#### Notes for Guidance

1. A responsible authority includes the local police, fire and rescue authority and other statutory bodies which exercise specific functions in the local area.
2. The ground(s) for review must be based on one of the licensing objectives.
3. Please list any additional information or details, for example dates of problems which are included in the grounds for review if available.
4. The application form must be signed.
5. An applicant's agent (for example, solicitor) may sign the form on their behalf provided that they have actual authority to do so.
6. This is the address which we shall use to correspond with you about this application.

WITNESS STATEMENT

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3)(a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

Statement of Davina Mclellan ..... URN: [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

Age if under 18 Over 18 ..... (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: Police Staff .....

This statement (consisting of: ... 2 ..... pages each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated anything in it which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: ..... Date: .....

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded [ ] (supply witness details on rear)

This statement refers to when a male attended Edmonton Police Station in the early hours of the morning after an altercation with an unknown male who threatened and injured him with a glass bottle in a Congolese restaurant in Tottenham.

On Tuesday 19th February 2013 from 21:00hrs I was on duty in full uniform in Edmonton front office. At approximately 01:40hrs on Wednesday 20th February a male who I now know to be VIW 1 attended the front office and spoke with myself stating that he had been dropped off at the location by a friend and wanted to report that he had been threatened and injured by an unknown Congolese male in a Congolese restaurant in Tottenham. VIW 1 could not give me the exact address of the restaurant but through Google I managed to find the location where the incident took place which was the IMPERIUM, 77 WEST GREEN ROAD, LONDON, N15. VIW 1 stated that he knew the manager of the restaurant who has approached him after the incident and thrown the other male out of the restaurant and told VIW 1 to calm down. VIW 1 then mentioned to the manager that he was going to the police to report the incident, at this point the manager has tried to prevent VIW 1 from making any such report. VIW 1 stated that it was because the manager didn't want any trouble coming back on his business.

Whilst taking the report I asked for the contact number for the manager of the restaurant, I have then rung the manager who did not believe me that I was calling from the Edmonton Green Police Station. I then stated to the male that if he did not believe me then to come to Edmonton Police Station where I could talk to him in person. Approximately half an hour later the manger has attended Edmonton front office and was very evasive and aggressive to questions asked by myself about what had actually taken place inside the location. The manager stated that he did not see anything but was made aware of altercation between VIW 1 and the other male where a glass bottle was said to have been used on VIW 1. I asked the manager does he know the other male involved and the manager stated that he did not know his name. I asked why he did not ring police at the time of incident and the manager made no reply. I asked what had happened to the glass bottle that was used and the manager stated that he had already had the glass cleared away as he had a restaurant full of people and kept repeating that the

Signature: ..... Signature witnessed by: .....

Continuation of Statement of **Davina Mclellan** .....

restaurant was only newly open and he didn't want any trouble. meaning all forensics were lost. The manager would not leave his name or date of birth only leaving me with the contact number for the restaurant. The manager has then asked if there were was anything else and asked if police would be attending the location I explained that there would be a strong possibility and that they would need to speak with him further. At this the manager has then left the front office leaving VIW 1 with myself to finish the report.

The manager remained at the front office for no longer than 10 minutes at most.

The manager I would describe as an IC3 male aged between 40-50 years, about F506 in height with short shaved black hair of fat stocky build, wearing dark clothing.

Signature: ..... Signature witnessed by: .....

**Witness contact details**

Home address: **C/O Enfield Lock SNT, Enfield** .....

Postcode: .....

Home telephone number ..... Work telephone number .....

Mobile/pager number ..... Email address: **Davina.McIellan2@met.police.uk**.....

Preferred means of contact: .....

~~Male~~/ **Female** (delete as applicable) Date and place of birth: **19/01/1984 Princess Alexandra Hospital, Harlow**

Former name: ..... Ethnicity Code (16+1): **W1** ..... Religion/belief: .....

**Dates of witness non-availability N/A**

**Witness care**

- a) Is the witness willing and likely to attend court? **Yes**. If 'No', include reason(s) on **MG6**.
- b) What can be done to ensure attendance?
- c) Does the witness require a Special Measures Assessment as a vulnerable or intimidated witness? **No**. If 'Yes' submit **MG2** with file.
- d) Does the witness have any specific care needs? **No**. If 'Yes' what are they? (Disability, healthcare, childcare, transport, language difficulties, visually impaired, restricted mobility or other concerns?)

**Witness Consent (for witness completion)**

- a) The criminal justice process and Victim Personal Statement scheme (victims only) has been explained to me Yes  No
- b) I have been given the Victim Personal Statement leaflet Yes  No
- c) I have been given the leaflet 'Giving a witness statement to police — what happens next?' Yes  No
- d) I consent to police having access to my medical record(s) in relation to this matter: (obtained in accordance with local practice) Yes  No  N/A
- e) I consent to my medical record in relation to this matter being disclosed to the defence: Yes  No  N/A
- f) I consent to the statement being disclosed for the purposes of civil proceedings e.g. child care proceedings, CICA Yes  No
- g) The information recorded above will be disclosed to the Witness Service so they can offer help and support, unless you ask them not to. Tick this box to decline their services:

Signature of witness: ..... Print name: .....

Signature of parent/guardian/appropriate adult: ..... Print name: .....

Address and telephone number if different from above: .....

Statement taken by (print name): ..... Station: .....

Time and place statement taken: .....



177 61145

Data Protection Act - Dispose Of As Confidential Waste

PC ML GREAVES 164YR 175744

CR:2803485/13 CrType:B Notifiable/MPS/Other:N-1 Status:U Press:Y Class:A/GBH W/I GLU:YR

General Information

Screening Decision: IN

Main

IU: YR HARINGEY  
 Crime Type: B H/O Crime Type: I  
 Allegation (Prefix):  
 Allegation:  
 Committed on/from Date: TUE 19/02/2013 23:00  
 Committed to Date:  
 Reported Date: WED 20/02/2013 01:52  
 How Notified: NC Caller At Station  
 Restricted? By: Date:  
 Restriction Reason:

Flags

YR

Borough

Branch

C Contact Successful BOCUPromise

Service

Area (Historical)

HQ QA Exclusion

OIC

Is OIC Same As Reporting Officer ?

Investigating Officer's Rank: ADS  
 Surname: PEDDIE Initials: AF  
 Warrant Number: 200150 Div/D Number: Usual Relief: 5  
 Duty: AB Borough CID  
 Station/Branch: YR HARINGEY  
 Allocated Date/Time: 20/02/2013 19:22  
 Allocation Noted? Y Noted Date/Time: 20/02/2013 19:22

Previous OIC Details

Usual Relief	Duty	Station Branch	Allocated Date/Time	Noted Date/Time
DS 196883	BG GUINEY			
5	AC Bor.Sqd/Unit (other)	YR	20/Feb/2013 1504	

PCSO 710545	D MCLELLAN 7259YE			
9	OA Other duty(Uniform)	YE	20/Feb/2013 0154	20/Feb/2013 0154

OIC Supervisor: DS/196883 BG GUINEY

Press

Suitable for Press? Y  
Restrictions:

Data Protection Act - Dispose Of As Confidential Waste

PC ML GREAVES 164YR 175744

CR:2803485/13 CrType:B Notifiable/MPS/Other:N-1 Status:U Press:Y Class:A/GBH W/I GLU:YR  
Venue

**Location**

OMPD?

Address:

Imperium,77,WEST GREEN ROAD,  
LONDON,N15 5DA

Location Text:

GLU: YR Local Id: YR15 Grid Ref: 533214,189118  
Watch Area:

**Main**

Location Type(s): JI Restaurants

Is the Venue covered by CCTV or in a CCTV Area? U  
CCTV Options:

Approach:

Entry Method:

Entry Point:

Exit Point:

Security:

Person On Premises?

Other Info:

**Internal Transfer**

Date/Time Transferred By Previous GLU Previous IU

**Transfer From MPS**

Transfer from Met To:-

Service:

Station:

Their Reference:

Received By:-

Rank:

Number:

Surname:

20/02/2013 02:32

PCSO 710545 7259YE D MCLELLAN

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 1 Immediate action  
No CAD – reported at Front Counter Edmonton Station

Officers Attending : Nil – reported at Front Counter

How Offence Happened :

On Wednesday 20th February VIW1 attended Edmonton Police Station after being dropped off by a friend to report that he was threatened and injured by an unknown male in a Congolese restaurant.

On Tuesday 19th February at 23:00hrs VIW1 attended the Imperial Restaurant Seven Sisters (Postcode unknown at time of report, manager of restaurant contacted and was very un-cooperative not believing that I was from Edmonton Police Station).

VIW1 states that he recognized SUSP1 from an incident that occurred on Saturday 16th February at the same restaurant as VIW1 was there with a friend. SUSP1 approached VIW1 and his friend and was being very hostile towards them.

I asked VIW1 if his friend had had previously dealings or any reason for SUSP1 to single them out and VIW1 said not that he knew of.

VIW1 states that tonight he attended the restaurant after work to have a drink with friends when SUSP1 has approached him recognizing him from Saturday. VIW1 has told SUSP1 to leave him alone as SUSP1 has come up to the table where VIW1 was seated and started shouting at VIW. SUSP1 has then grabbed a glass from the table smashing it on the table, at this point VIW1 has stood up to SUSP1 and SUSP1 has grabbed VIW1 by his jumper ripping three of the buttons off and pushed the broken glass into VIW1s chest causing two small cuts.

At this point both males were pulled apart and VIW1 sat in a corner and instructed to calm down by the manager. The manager has then thrown SUSP1 out of

Data Protection Act - Dispose Of As Confidential Waste  
PC ML GREAVES 164YR 175744  
CR:2803485/13 CrType:B Notifiable/MPS/Other:N-1 Status:U Press:Y Class:A/GBH W/I GLU:YR  
**Details of Investigation**

20/02/2013 02:32

PCSO 710545 7259YE D MCLELLAN

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 1 Immediate action  
the restaurant.

VIW1 has stated to the manager that he was going to report SUSP1 to the police and manager tried to prevent VIW1 from making any report. VIW1 has then left the location and been dropped at Edmonton Police Station to make a report before he went to sleep.

The victim / informant can supply no other details of this incident

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 2 Scene  
Scene NOT visited by Police – Reported at Front Counter. Incident occurred inside a restaurant.  
Believed possible CCTV.

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 3 Forensics  
No forensics known

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 4 Victims/Witnesses  
Full details of the VIW taken at time of report  
Contact number taken for manager. Name unknown by VIW1.  
The VIW has been provided with the CRIS No.

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 5 Suspects  
Refer to SUSPECT page.

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 6 Other evidence  
VIW1 stated that he only had one drink whilst at the restaurant. VIW1 eyes were glazed and the smell of alcohol was present whilst taking the report.

His initial account varied when taking down details

OIC Changed

20/02/2013 19:38

ADS 200150 AF PEDDIE

Police were not called at the time of the incident.  
I have spoken to the victim and discussed this matter with him. He maintains that he did call police at the time. I have checked this and no call to that location was ever received.

I have spoken to the manager of the restaurant, Kanyenesha NZUKI (07448 520060) and he has explained that there is no CCTV in the premises as it is a new business and those measures have not yet been

20/02/2013 19:38

ADS 200150 AF PEDDIE

installed. I have no reason to distrust this explanation.

The manager states that he was not a witness to what took place and only became involved at the end of the fight when both parties were being seperated. He states that both were surrounded by some broken glass.



1796117

Data Protection Act - Dispose Of As Confidential Waste  
PC ML GREAVES 164YR 175744

CR:2810358/13 CrType:C Notifiable/MPS/Other:N-1 Status:U Press:N Class:S/GBH/Wound GLU:YR  
General Information

Screening Decision: IN

Main

IU: YR HARINGEY  
Crime Type: C H/O Crime Type: 1  
Allegation (Prefix):  
Allegation:  
Committed on/from Date: SAT 01/06/2013 00:01  
Committed to Date: SAT 01/06/2013 01:00  
Reported Date: SAT 01/06/2013 19:47  
How Notified: NP Phone Call to Police  
Restricted? By: Date:  
Restriction Reason:

Flags

YR

Borough

Branch

U Contact Attempted BOCU Promise

Service

Area (Historical)

HQ QA Exclusion

OIC

Is OIC Same As Reporting Officer ?

Investigating Officer's Rank: DS

Surname: SUDDERY

Warrant Number: 206526

Duty: OB Other duty (CID)

Station/Branch: YR HARINGEY

Allocated Date/Time: 24/06/2013 15:23

Allocation Noted? Y

Div/D Number:

OB Other duty (CID)

YR HARINGEY

24/06/2013 15:23

Y

Initials: AA

Usual Relief:

Noted Date/Time: 24/06/2013 16:51

Previous OIC Details

Usual Relief Duty

Station Branch

Allocated Date/Time

Noted Date/Time

DS 206526 AA SUDDERY  
OB Other duty (CID)

YR

18/Jun/2013 1228

18/Jun/2013 1228

DC 219562 A VYAS  
6 AB Borough CID

YD

04/Jun/2013 0214

17/Jun/2013 1549

DC 220396 PC COYLE  
5 AB Borough CID

YR

04/Jun/2013 0212

04/Jun/2013 0212

Data Protection Act - Dispose Of As Confidential Waste

PC ML GREAVES 164YR 175744

CR:2810358/13 CrType:C Notifiable/MPS/Other:N-1 Status:U Press:N Class:S/GBH/Wound GLU:YR  
Venue

**Location**

OMPD?

Address:

IMPERIUM,77,WEST GREEN ROAD,  
LONDON,N15 5DA

Location Text:

Within the above venue

GLU: YR Local Id: YR15 Grid Ref: 533214,189118

Watch Area:

**Main**

Location Type(s): AC Terraced JA Public House

Is the Venue covered by CCTV or in a CCTV Area? N

CCTV Options:

Approach:

Entry Method:

Entry Point:

Exit Point:

Security:

Person On Premises?

Other Info:

**Internal Transfer**

Date/Time Transferred By Previous GLU Previous IU

**Transfer From MPS**

Transfer from Met To:-

Service:

Station:

Their Reference:

Received By:-

Rank:

Number:

Surname:

02/06/2013 01:10

PC 230359 198YR L KINGHAM

**PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 1 Immediate action**

1. CAD 8425 01JUN13 refers, PC198YR KINGHAM and PC182YR DODDS ( A Team)

2. Police were called to the venue after the caller stated that he had been "bottled" in a bar.

On arrival at the venue police had cause to speak with VIW1. He stated that he been assaulted in the early hours of the 01/06/13 at the venue and he had returned to the bar as he thought the manager had called the police at the time that it had happened and as such wanted a police reference number.

VIW1 had attended the North Middlesex hospital that night where he had a cut to his right forehead, approx one inch in length "glued" together and taped. In addition to this his also has an injury to his jaw that needs a further appointment at the Royal London Hospital on 03/06/13. As they were unable to complete a scan at the time.

VIW1 stated that he was in the bar for about four (4) hours, somewhere between midnight and 01:00 hours a fight had broken out where VIW1 stated that four males had started a fight on one male. VIW1 said that he had stepped in to try and break up the fight when SUS1 had then hit him across the head with a bottle causing injury before running from the venue.

VIW1 believed staff had called police and waited for an ambulance to take him to hospital. VIW1 said that while he was waiting the bar was quickly closed up. VIW1 cannot recall much more of the incident as he stated he was feeling very drowsy.

VIW 2 NZUKI ICANYEMESHA

Data Protection Act - Dispose Of As Confidential Waste

PC ML GREAVES 164YR 175744

CR:2810358/13 CrType:C Notifiable/MPS/Other:N-1 Status:U Press:N Class:S/GBH/Wound GLU:YR

**Details of Investigation**

02/06/2013 01:10

PC 230359 198YR L KINGHAM

PRIMARY INVESTIGATION DETAILS : 1 Immediate action

Viw2 was spoken to regarding the incident and stated the following to police

Viw2 stated he was behind the bar at the venue which is located at the rear of the premises serving drinks,

Viw2 stated at approx 0130 hours while behind the bar he saw (2) two males arguing at one of the tables within the restaurant Viw2 stated that he could not hear what was being said as there was music playing within the venue but stated from their body language he believed the two males to be arguing.

Viw2 stated he served a customer a drink and when he looked back round he saw one of the males run out of the venue and blood on the other males face,

Viw2 stated he did not ring police as he thought that some of the patrons had already done that but stated that the injured male then walked out of the venue and he continued to carry on serving drinks.

Viw2 could not describe the two males involved in the incident or what clothing they had on. Viw2 stated he did not know them by name.

When asked about possibly 4 males being involved viw2 shook his head and stated there was definitely only two males arguing.

Viw2 admitted to officers that he had cleaned the venue prior to police attending and when asked about rubbish such as the alleged bottle used to injure Viw1 Viw2 stated that rubbish had been thrown out also.

**APPENDIX 1A – COPY OF CURRENT PREMISES LICENCE**

## PREMISES LICENCE

Receipt: AG869877

Premises Licence Number: LN/00006188

*This Premises Licence has been issued by:*

**The Licensing Authority, London Borough of Haringey,  
Urban Environment, Technopark, Ashley Road  
Tottenham, London, N17 9LN**

Signature:.....

Date: 18<sup>th</sup> February 2008  
19<sup>th</sup> October 2012

### Part 1 – PREMISES DETAILS

**Postal Address of Premises or, if none, Ordnance Survey map reference or description:**

**IMPERIUM WINE BAR RESTAURANT  
77 WEST GREEN ROAD  
TOTTENHAM  
LONDON  
N15 5DA**

Telephone: 0208 809 7273

**Where the Licence is time limited, the dates:**

N/A

**Licensable activities authorised by the Licence:**

**Regulated Entertainment (plays, recorded music)**

**Provision of Late Night Refreshment**

**Supply of Alcohol**

**The times the Licence authorises the carrying out of licensable activities:**

**Regulated Entertainment**

**Sunday to Thursday 1200 to 0100**

**Friday and Saturday 1200 to 0200**

**Provision of Late Night Refreshment**

**Sunday to Thursday 2300 to 0100**

**Friday and Saturday 2300 to 0200**

**Supply of Alcohol**

**Sunday to Thursday 1200 to 0030**

**Friday and Saturday 1200 to 0130**

**Non Standard Timings**

**Christmas Eve and New Years Eve 1200 to 0230**



**The opening hours of the premises:**

Sunday to Thursday	1200 to 0100
Friday and Saturday	1200 to 0200
Non Standard Timings	
Christmas Eve and New Years Eve	1200 to 0230

**Where the Licence authorises supplies of alcohol whether these are on and/or off supplies:**

Supply of alcohol for consumption **ON** and **OFF** the premises only.

**Part 2**

**Name, (registered) address, telephone number and e-mail (where relevant) of holder of Premises Licence:**

David Adewale  
3 Windmill Gardens  
Enfield  
London  
EN2 7DZ

**Registered number of holder, for example company number, charity number (where applicable):**

**Name, address and telephone number of designated premises supervisor where the Premises Licence authorises the supply of alcohol:**

David Adewale  
3 Windmill Gardens  
Enfield  
London  
EN2 7DZ

**Personal Licence number and issuing authority of personal licence held by designated premises supervisor where the Premises Licence authorises for the supply of alcohol:**

Personal Licence Number	LN/200700528
Expires:	19 <sup>th</sup> December 2017
London Borough of Enfield	

## **Annex 1 –Mandatory Conditions**

**(1) Supply of alcohol:** No supply of alcohol may be made under the premises licence-

- (a) at a time when there is no designated premises supervisor in respect of the premises licence, or
- (b) at a time when the designated premises supervisor does not hold a personal licence or his personal licence is suspended.

(2) The second condition is that every supply of alcohol under the premises licence must be made or authorised by a person who holds a personal licence.

### **Additional Mandatory Conditions in relation to Supply of Alcohol**

1.— (1) The responsible person shall take all reasonable steps to ensure that staff on relevant premises do not carry out, arrange or participate in any irresponsible promotions in relation to the premises.

(2) In this paragraph, an irresponsible promotion means any one or more of the following activities, or substantially similar activities, carried on for the purpose of encouraging the sale or supply of alcohol for consumption on the premises in a manner which carries a significant risk of leading or contributing to crime and disorder, prejudice to public safety, public nuisance, or harm to children—

(a) games or other activities which require or encourage, or are designed to require or encourage, individuals to—

(i) drink a quantity of alcohol within a time limit (other than to drink alcohol sold or supplied on the premises before the cessation of the period in which the responsible person is authorised to sell or supply alcohol), or

(ii) drink as much alcohol as possible (whether within a time limit or otherwise);

(b) provision of unlimited or unspecified quantities of alcohol free or for a fixed or discounted fee to the public or to a group defined by a particular characteristic (other than any promotion or discount available to an individual in respect of alcohol for consumption at a table meal, as defined in section 159 of the Act);

(c) provision of free or discounted alcohol or any other thing as a prize to encourage or reward the purchase and consumption of alcohol over a period of 24 hours or less;

(d) provision of free or discounted alcohol in relation to the viewing on the premises of a sporting event, where that provision is dependent on—

(i) the outcome of a race, competition or other event or process, or

(ii) the likelihood of anything occurring or not occurring;

(e) selling or supplying alcohol in association with promotional posters or flyers on, or in the vicinity of, the premises which can reasonably be considered to condone, encourage or glamorise anti-social behaviour or to refer to the effects of drunkenness in any favourable manner.

2. The responsible person shall ensure that no alcohol is dispensed directly by one person into the mouth of another (other than where that other person is unable to drink without assistance by reason of a disability).

3. The responsible person shall ensure that free tap water is provided on request to customers where it is reasonably available.

4.—(1) The premises licence holder or club premises certificate holder shall ensure that an age verification policy applies to the premises in relation to the sale or supply of

## **Annex 1 –Mandatory Conditions**

alcohol.

(2) The policy must require individuals who appear to the responsible person to be under 18 years of age (or such older age as may be specified in the policy) to produce on request, before being served alcohol, identification bearing their photograph, date of birth and a holographic mark.

5. The responsible person shall ensure that–

(a) where any of the following alcoholic drinks is sold or supplied for consumption on the premises (other than alcoholic drinks sold or supplied having been made up in advance ready for sale or supply in a securely closed container) it is available to customers in the following measures–

(i) beer or cider: ½ pint;

(ii) gin, rum, vodka or whisky: 25 ml or 35 ml; and

(iii) still wine in a glass: 125 ml; and

(b) customers are made aware of the availability of these measures.

**Door supervision:** At specified times one or more individuals must be at the premises to carry out a security activity and each of these individuals must be licensed by the Security Industry Authority.

## **Annex 2 – Conditions consistent with the Operating Schedule**

### **GENERAL**

- **Staff training to take place in relation to Licensing Legislation**
- **Fire policy in place for the premises.**
- **Health and Safety Policy in place for the premises.**

### **THE PREVENTION OF CRIME AND DISORDER**

- **SIA Registered door supervisor to be employed from 2300 when the premises is open beyond midnight.**
- **Zero tolerance drugs policy in place on the premises and staff training on how to notice relevant signs.**
- **Consultation and guidance with police crime prevention officer.**
- **Incident book to be maintained on the premises.**

### **PUBLIC SAFETY**

- **Safety and Evacuation policy in place.**
- **Pre-opening safety checks in place and recorded prior to premises being open to the public.**
- **The number of people on the premises is monitored and kept in accordance with guidance given by Environmental Health Officers / Fire Officer.**

### **THE PREVENTION OF PUBLIC NUISANCE**


- **Music is to be used for background purposes and only easy-listening music can be played to create a soothing atmosphere which does not intrude into the conversations of those using the restaurant and is inaudible in any neighbouring domestic premises.**
- **Doors to the premises to be closed from 2300.**
- **Notices at exits reminding customers to restrict their noise on leaving premises with consideration for neighbours and local business'.**
- **No food to be taken from premises.**
- **Refuse sealed in bags and put out at appropriate times for collection.**
- **No deliveries before 0800.**

### **THE PROTECTION OF CHILDREN**


- **No activities to take place that will cause harm to children attending.**
- **Challenge 21 policy in place.**
- **Alcohol may only be sold to individuals over the age of 18 with valid proof of identification with one of the following:**
  - **A valid passport**
  - **A photo driving license issued in a European Union Country**
  - **A proof of age standard card system**
  - **A citizen card, supported by the Home Office**

**Annex 3 – Conditions attached after a hearing by the licensing authority**

# Annex 4 - Plans




PROPOSED FRONT ELEVATION




PROPOSED REAR ELEVATION

- FIRE ALARM MUST BE INSTALLED ABOVE DOOR TO CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF BS 5839
- EMERGENCY LIGHTING SHOULD BE ON A SEPARATE CIRCUIT FROM THAT SUPPLYING ANY OTHER PART OF THE ESCAPE ROUTE. ESCAPE LIGHTING TO COMPLY WITH BS 5266 PART 1: 1999
- SELF-CONTAINED BREATHING APPARATUS SHALL BE PERMANENTLY WHELED TO A SEPARATE FIRED CIRCUIT AT THE DISTRIBUTION BOARD. THE WHEED INSTALLATION SHOULD CONFORM TO THE FIRE WORKS REGULATIONS
- 9 LITRE WATER FIRE EXTINGUISHER
- 9 LITRE FOAM FIRE EXTINGUISHER
- AREA COVERED BY HEAT DETECTOR
- FIRE ALARM CALL POINT (BS 5266)




EXISTING GROUND FLOOR PLAN



PROPOSED GROUND FLOOR PLAN

KEY:  
 PROPOSED WORK SHOWN  
 EXISTING WORK



PROPOSED GROUND FLOOR PLAN SHOWING FIRE SAFETY SIGNS

<b>KAUSHIK BHATT</b> ARCHITECT	
42 BRAYTON ROAD, LONDON E11 2NU	
MOBILE : 07041 688170	
<b>ADD STYLE</b>	
PROPOSED WORK SHOWN IN RED TO AS SHOWN IN THE ORIGINAL DRAWING. EXISTING WORK SHOWN IN BLACK.	
<b>CLIENT</b> MR. D. ADEWALE	
<b>DATE</b> JAN. 07	<b>SCALE</b> 1:120
<b>DRAWING NO.</b>	<b>293.07.BR1</b>

**APPENDIX 2 –SECTION 182 GUIDANCE**

- 11.22 For example, licensing authorities should be alive to the possibility that the removal and replacement of the designated premises supervisor may be sufficient to remedy a problem where the cause of the identified problem directly relates to poor management decisions made by that individual.
- 11.23 Equally, it may emerge that poor management is a direct reflection of poor company practice or policy and the mere removal of the designated premises supervisor may be an inadequate response to the problems presented. Indeed, where subsequent review hearings are generated by representations, it should be rare merely to remove a succession of designated premises supervisors as this would be a clear indication of deeper problems that impact upon the licensing objectives.
- 11.24 Licensing authorities should also note that modifications of conditions and exclusions of licensable activities may be imposed either permanently or for a temporary period of up to three months. Temporary changes or suspension of the licence for up to three months could impact on the business holding the licence financially and would only be expected to be pursued as an appropriate means of promoting the licensing objectives. So, for instance, a licence could be suspended for a weekend as a means of deterring the holder from allowing the problems that gave rise to the review to happen again. However, it will always be important that any detrimental financial impact that may result from a licensing authority's decision is appropriate and proportionate to the promotion of the licensing objectives. But where premises are found to be trading irresponsibly, the licensing authority should not hesitate, where appropriate to do so, to take tough action to tackle the problems at the premises and, where other measures are deemed insufficient, to revoke the licence.

#### **REVIEWS ARISING IN CONNECTION WITH CRIME**

- 11.25 A number of reviews may arise in connection with crime that is not directly connected with licensable activities. For example, reviews may arise because of drugs problems at the premises; money laundering by criminal gangs, the sale of contraband or stolen goods, or the sale of firearms. Licensing authorities do not have the power to judge the criminality or otherwise of any issue. This is a matter for the courts of law. The licensing authority's role when determining such a review is not therefore to establish the guilt or innocence of any individual but to ensure the promotion of the crime prevention objective.
- 11.26 Reviews are part of the regulatory process introduced by the 2003 Act and they are not part of criminal law and procedure. Some reviews will arise after the conviction in the criminal courts of certain individuals, but not all. In any case, it is for the licensing authority to determine whether the problems associated with the alleged crimes are taking place on the premises and affecting the promotion of the licensing objectives. Where a review follows a conviction, it would also not be for the licensing authority to attempt to go beyond any finding by the courts, which should be treated as a matter of undisputed evidence before them.



11.27 Where the licensing authority is conducting a review on the grounds that the premises have been used for criminal purposes, its role is solely to determine what steps should be taken in connection with the premises licence, for the promotion of the crime prevention objective. It is important to recognise that certain criminal activity or associated problems may be taking place or have taken place despite the best efforts of the licence holder and the staff working at the premises and despite full compliance with the conditions attached to the licence. In such circumstances, the licensing authority is still empowered to take any appropriate steps to remedy the problems. The licensing authority's duty is to take steps with a view to the promotion of the licensing objectives in the interests of the wider community and not those of the individual licence holder.

11.28 As explained above, it is not the role of a licensing authority to determine the guilt or innocence of individuals charged with licensing or other offences committed on licensed premises. There is therefore no reason why representations giving rise to a review of a premises licence need be delayed pending the outcome of any criminal proceedings. As stated above, at the conclusion of a review, it will be for the licensing authority to determine on the basis of the application for the review and any relevant representations made, what action needs to be taken for the promotion of the licensing objectives in respect of the licence in question, regardless of any subsequent judgment in the courts about the behaviour of individuals.

11.29 There is certain criminal activity that may arise in connection with licensed premises which should be treated particularly seriously. These are the use of the licensed premises:

- for the sale and distribution of Class A drugs and the laundering of the proceeds of drugs crime;
- for the sale and distribution of illegal firearms;
- for the evasion of copyright in respect of pirated or unlicensed films and music, which does considerable damage to the industries affected;
- for the illegal purchase and consumption of alcohol by minors which impacts on the health, educational attainment, employment prospects and propensity for crime of young people;
- for prostitution or the sale of unlawful pornography;
- by organised groups of paedophiles to groom children;
- as the base for the organisation of criminal activity, particularly by gangs;
- for the organisation of racist activity or the promotion of racist attacks;
- for knowingly employing a person who is unlawfully in the UK or who cannot lawfully be employed as a result of a condition on that person's leave to enter;

**APPENDIX 3 –THE PROVENTION OF CRIME AND DISORDER POLICY STATEMENT**

## **Operating Schedule**

The operating schedule is part of the application for a premises licence and should contain information so that others can assess whether the steps a business intends to take will satisfy the licensing objectives. As such it is asking the applicant how their business will be run. This is an 'assessment of the risks' by the applicant and what control measures or actions are proposed to stop this risk. This must be specific to the premises and activities detailed in the application and not general in nature.

The operating schedule must include and consider:

- what activities are planned at the premises;
- the times during which the applicant proposes that the activities are to take place;
- any other times during which the applicant proposes that the premises are to be open to the public;
- where the applicant wishes the licence to have effect for a limited period, that period must be detailed;
- details of the Designated Premises Supervisor and a copy of the personal licence;
- if the activities include sale of alcohol, whether such sales are proposed to be for the consumption on the premises or off the premises, or both;
- the steps which the applicant proposes to take to promote each of the licensing objectives - See guidance below

(further items may be required from time to time if the Government so directs)

## **The Operating Schedule and the Licensing Objectives**

Operating Schedules must clearly satisfy the four licensing objectives. This is considered in more detail below with each of the objectives in turn.

### **1. The prevention of crime and disorder**

Licensees have the responsibility to take steps to prevent crime and disorder. The Council will expect applicants to consider various steps and provisions in their Operating Schedule to help in achieving this objective. Such considerations should not only look at issues within the premises, but also matters related to the vicinity of the premises. Listed below are provisions that may be used if applicable.

The record of compliance with respect to the items contained in this section will be considered by the Licensing Sub Committee.

Applicants are expected to demonstrate how these will assist in their premises:

- Registered door supervisors – How they will be used in the premises, what they will be asked to do and what they are seeking to achieve. (NB Door Supervisors must be licensed by the Security Industry Authority) ;
- Use of CCTV - How CCTV will be used and monitored to help prevent crime and disorder;
- The use of responsible drinking promotions - what will and will not be used by the premises to promote custom ;
- Stopping the sale of alcohol to those under 18 years of age- how will the business achieve this. (Of equal concern for both on licences and off licences)
- Promoting of safer drinking (including the use of drink when driving) - what will the business specifically do, policy, practices, how may they assist customers to achieve this;
- Have effective protocols in place to deal with intoxicated patrons and/or persons under the influence of illegal drugs and the supply of illegal drugs. The issue of spiking drinks should also be considered. (This may include for example, co-ordination and participation in a local pubwatch scheme);
- Adequate search facilities - how this will operate;
- Methods to discourage drinking of alcohol ( supplied for consumption on the premises ) in a public place in the vicinity of the premises;
- Procedures to deal with violence and/or anti-social behaviour including any crime which discriminates against any sectors of the community;
- X • Methods to discourage the handling and distribution of stolen, counterfeit or other illegal goods;
- Addressing prostitution or indecency at the premises;
- Ensuring adequate training given to staff in crime prevention measures
- Procedures for risk assessing promotions and events where the event is likely to attract customers that may heighten the possibility of violence and/or disorder and include plans to minimise such risks.
- Utilising good practices outlined in the British Beer & Pub Association publication- Drugs and Pubs: A Guide for Licensees.

## **2 Public safety**

Licensees have the responsibility to take steps towards protecting public safety. The council will expect applicants to consider various steps and provisions in their Operating Schedule to help in achieving this objective. Listed below are provisions that may be used if applicable. Applicants are expected to demonstrate how these will assist in their premises: